ESSAY



Stranded research? Leading finance journals are silent on climate change

Ivan Diaz-Rainey¹ · Becky Robertson² · Charlie Wilson²

Received: 15 December 2016 / Accepted: 30 April 2017 / Published online: 22 May 2017 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2017

Abstract Finance research has shaped the modern financial system, influencing investors and market participants directly through research findings and indirectly through teaching and training programmes. Climate change presents major risks to the global financial system as well as new opportunities for investors. Is climate finance an important topic in finance research? We systematically analyse the content of 20,725 articles published in the leading 21 finance journals between January 1998 and June 2015. We find that only 12 articles (0.06%) are related in some way to climate finance. The three elite finance journals (*Journal of Financial Economics* and *Review of Financial Studies*) did not publish a single article related to climate finance over the 17.5-year period. We repeat our analysis across a sample of 29 elite business journals spanning accounting, economics, management, marketing and operations research, as well as finance. We find a similar dearth of published climate finance research. We consider four possible explanations for this failure of top finance and business journals to engage with climate finance as a research topic. These include methodological constraints and editorial policies. We conclude by arguing why it is critical for climate-related research to be given greater attention and prominence in finance journals.

1 Introduction

Climate change presents both major risks to the global financial system and an opportunity for investors. Climate finance has become a defining topic in policy and practice, with major current debates around stranded fossil fuel assets, divestment from carbon-intensive stocks, the direct (physical) impact of climate change on assets, carbon markets, green investing and development finance, risk management strategies including climate insurance and broader

Ivan Diaz-Rainey ivan.diaz-rainey@otago.ac.nz

² Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK



¹ Department of Accountancy and Finance & the Otago Energy Research Centre (OERC), University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand

financial stability risks (Dietz et al. 2016; Pauw et al. 2016; Batten et al. 2016; Griffin et al. 2015; Van Renssen 2014; Leaton 2011). There is no accepted taxonomy of climate finance, reflecting its emergent nature. However, common distinctions are made between mitigation or adaptation climate finance, and between public or private climate finance (Pauw et al. 2016).

In this paper, we explore whether climate finance is an important topic in finance research. Finance research is principally concerned with the functioning of private finance and so can help advance understanding of the interplay between financial markets and investors on the one hand and climate change on the other—be it related to mitigation or adaptation.

All the 'core' areas of finance research-assert pricing, corporate finance and investments-are relevant to climate change. As an illustrative example, the 'asset pricing' branch of finance research, which takes the perspective of traders, could help uncover to what degree investors are pricing carbon risk into asset values and is thus relevant to contemporary debates on stranded assets (Ansar et al. 2013; Carbon Tracker Initiative 2013, 2015; Dietz et al. 2016; Griffin et al. 2015; Leaton 2011). 'Corporate finance' researchers, who take the perspective of companies, could help establish whether energy majors have the balance sheets to support the financing of a capital-intensive low carbon transition (Tulloch et al. 2017). 'Investments' researchers can evaluate if climate-friendly investment funds or bonds outperform their alternatives on a risk-adjusted basis (Muñoz et al. 2014). Finance research in non-core areas can also contribute to understanding the relationships between private finance and climate change. Finance researchers concerned with insurance, risk management and financial institutions can help answer questions such as the following: what impact will rising sea levels have on real estate assets in large cities? What financial instruments can be used hedge carbon risk in investment portfolios? How exposed are banks to carbon risk, and banking systems more generally? (see Alexander 2014; Andersson et al. 2016; Batten et al. 2016; Carney 2015; Carbon Tracker Initiative 2013; de Jong and Nguyen 2016).

Leading climate change journals (including *Nature Climate Change, Climatic Change* and *Global Environmental Change*) have clearly engaged with climate finance topics (Dietz et al. 2016; Patenaude 2011; Pauw et al. 2016; Van Renssen 2014). One would similarly expect leading finance journals to have engaged with climate change.

We use systematic content analysis to identify climate change-related and climate financerelated articles in a sample of over 20,000 articles during a 17.5-year period since the Kyoto Protocol introduced global carbon markets. We repeat this analysis for a further sample of over 31,000 articles in elite business journals during the same time period to determine if our results are specific to finance journals, or are more broadly representative of accounting, economics, management and other business journals. Content analysis is a widely used method for characterising published textual material through simple quantitative descriptors such as the frequency of occurrence of a defined set of 'codes'. The codes are linked to specific words, phrases or meanings of the textual content. Content analysis has recently been applied to large samples of journal articles to identify the disciplines, methods and concepts of energy-related social science (D'Agostino et al. 2011), the main findings of research on smart home technologies (Wilson et al. 2015) and the constituent types of low-carbon behaviour (Karlin et al. 2014).

We find a minimal level of engagement of finance and business journals with climate change-related and climate finance-related research, and we develop arguments as to why this might be the case. We conclude that this research gap is a major issue for advancing theory and practice in climate finance given the importance of finance research in shaping the modern financial system, in influencing investors and market participants and in teaching the next



generation of finance, investment, and business leaders. In so doing, we build on previous findings that business academics up to 2008 were slow to embrace climate change as a field of enquiry, although this research did not consider climate finance explicitly (Patenaude 2011; Goodall 2008).

2 Method: content analysis

We identified leading finance journals ranked B and above (Currie and Pandher 2011) and elite business journals rated 4* (ABS 2015). The samples comprised 21 finance journals (Table 1) and 29 elite business journals spanning accounting, economics, finance, management, marketing and operations research (Table 2). The top three finance journals were included in both samples (*Journal of Finance, Journal of Financial Economics, Review of Financial Studies*).

We used Scopus to search the content of these journals for articles on climate change ('climate' OR 'global warming' OR 'greenhouse' OR 'carbon'). We searched titles, abstracts and keywords in all article types. The search period covered a 17.5-year period from January 1998 to June 2015. The Kyoto Protocol was signed in December 1997 and provides a clear milestone in international climate policy including the formation of carbon markets. All the journals in our two samples were indexed by Scopus over the full search period with three exceptions (*Review of Finance, Quantitative Finance* and *Journal of Financial Research*). Coverage of these journals in Scopus began in 2004, 2003 and 2003 respectively. We used journals' own websites to search for articles in the period from January 1998 to these start dates to ensure no missing data.

A total of 36 articles in finance journals and 156 articles in elite business journals matched our search terms on climate change. We compiled metadata on all these articles and checked for spurious matches. Over half of all articles were spurious and not related to climate change (e.g. referring to a 'changing economic climate'). Two members of the research team (IDR, BR) independently carried out these checks, with inter-coder reliability (Krippendorff's alpha) of 0.8854. A bootstrap procedure generates lower and upper 95% CI of 0.7799 and 0.9600 respectively. Any coding differences were checked by the third member of the research team (CW) and resolved.

As shown in Fig. 1, our final samples comprised 12 articles in finance journals (after rejecting 24 spurious matches) and 74 articles in elite business journals (after rejecting 82 spurious matches).

To determine whether the articles in these final samples were related to climate finance, we searched the compiled metadata using a set of financial terms ('financ*' OR 'balance sheet' OR 'asset' OR 'valu*' OR 'market' OR 'trade' OR 'trading' OR 'securit*'). A total of 12 (of 12) articles in leading finance journals and 25 (of 74) articles in elite business journals matched our climate finance-related search terms.

We coded each of these climate finance articles using a simple coding template which distinguishes key finance topics: (A) carbon markets; (B) value and performance (including stranded assets, green funds); (C) risk and risk mitigation (including hedging and insurance); (D) investments and opportunities (including green bonds); (E) corporate strategies (including carbon accounting, socially responsible investment) and (F) other (including carbon taxes, public climate finance). These topics were initially derived from an iterative process of reading the climate finance literature and reconciling this with established areas of finance research (for instance, commodity markets for topic A, risk management and insurance for topic C). For the

le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in 21 leading finance journals (Jan. 1998–Jun.	2015)
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in 21 leading finance journals (Jan.	1998–Jun.
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in 21 leading finance journals	(Jan.
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in 21 leading finance j	ournals
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in 21 leading	finance j
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in 21	leading
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in	21
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related articles	Е.
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-related	articles
le 1 Climate change-related and climate finance-	related
le 1 Climate change-related and climate	finance-
le 1 Climate change-related and	climate
le 1 Climate change-related a	pu
le 1 Climate change-r	elated a
le 1 Climate	change-r
le 1	Climate
	le 1

All data for January 1998- Climate change-related Climate change-related Jume 2015 Journals ordered by Total No. of climate change-related No. Journal of Finance 1412 0 000% 0 Journal of Financial 1588 0 0.00% 0 Journal of Financial 1588 0 0.00% 0 Journal of Financial 1202 0 0.00% 0 Review of Financial 1202 0 0.00% 0 Review of Financial 755 0 0.00% 0 Journal of Money, 1246 0 0.00% 0 Analysis Journal of Money 1246 0 0.00% 0 Journal of Manecial 514 0 0.00% 0 0 Banking Journal of Financial 5329 4 0.12% 4 Journal of Empired 532 0 0.00% 0 Journal of Financial 532 0 0.00% 0	ه∫رات	Table 1	Climate cha	nge-relate	xd and climate fina	nce-related articles in	1 21 leading financ	ce journals (Jan. 1990		(c1				
Journals ordered by nankingTotal no. of change-related change-related related as % of all finan- articlesNo. of change-related related as % of all finan- articlesNo.Journal of Finance"141200.00%0Journal of Financial158800.00%0Journal of Financial120200.00%0Benomics"Review of Financial120200.00%0Benomics"72500.00%00Budies72500.00%00Journal of Financial72500.00%00Budies732940.12%44AnalysisJournal of Money,124600.00%00Journal of Financial332940.12%44Journal of Banking332940.12%40Journal of Banking332940.00%00InternediationJournal of Financial50200.00%0Journal of Financial50200.00%00InternediationJournal of Empirical76710.13%1Journal of Empirel76710.13%11Inance133110.13%11Journal of Empirel76710.13%11Journal of Empirel76710.13%11Journal of Empirel767	pringer	All data June 20	a for January 1 15	-866	Climate change-	related	Climate finance-	related	Coding o	of climate finar	nce-related ar	ticles		
Journal of Finance ⁴ 1412 0 0.00% 0 Economics ⁶ 1412 0 0.00% 0 Economics ⁶ 0.00% 0 Economics ⁶ 0.00% 0 Studies ⁶ 0.00% 0 Studies ⁶ 0.00% 0 Journal of Financial 1202 0 0.00% 0 and Quantitative 1246 0 0.00% 0 Lournal of Money, 1246 0 0.00% 0 Finance 13329 4 0.12% 4 and Finance 134 0 0.00% 0 Finance 1332 0 0.00% 0 Finance 1331 1 0.13% 1 Journal of Empirical 767 1 0.13% 1 Finance 1331 1 0.13% 1 Management 100% 0 Finance 1331 1 0.13% 1 Finance 1331 1 0.13% 1 Finance 1331 1 0.00% 0 Finance 1331 1 0.00% 0 Fin	N A	Journals ranking	s ordered by	Total no. of articles	No. of climate change-related articles	Climate change- related as % of all articles	No. of climate finance-related articles	Climate finance- related as % of all articles	Carbon markets	Value and performance	Risk and risk mitigation	Opportunities	Corporate response	Other
Economics*Review of Financial120200.00%0Studies*0.00%00Journal of Financial72500.00%0and Quantitative124600.00%0Analysis00.00%00Journal of Money,124600.00%0Banking332940.12%4Journal of Banking332940.12%4Banking332940.00%0Bunking51400.00%0Pinance51400.00%0Pinance51400.00%0Pinance51400.00%0Pinance51400.00%0Pinance50200.00%0Pournal of Empirical76710.13%Journal of Empirical76710.13%1InternationalMoney and10.00%0Money andFinance200.00%0Finance110.03%1International110.00%0Money and110.00%0Finance200.00%0Money and110.00%0Finance200.00%0Money and110.00%0Finance200.00%0Money	JL	Journal Journal	of Finance ^a of Financial	1412 1588	0 0	0.00% 0.00%	0 0	0.00% 0.00%	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	00
Journal of Financial 725 0 0.00% 0 and Quantitative Analysis Journal of Money, 1246 0 0.00% 0 Credit and Banking 3329 4 0.12% 4 and Finance S14 0 0.00% 0 Finance Journal of Finance Journal of Finance Journal of Corporate 864 0 0.00% 0 Finance Finance Finance S13 1 1 0.13% 1 Finance Finance Journal of S22 0 0.00% 0 Finance Finance Finance Finance Finance Journal of Finance Finance Finance Finance Finance Journal of Journal		Econ Review Studi	omics" of Financial	1202	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ъ	Journal and (and (of Financial Quantitative	725	0	0.00%	0	%00.0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Banking Journal of Banking and Finance 3329 4 0.12% 4Journal of Banking and Finance 514 0 0.00% 0Mathematical Finance 514 0 0.00% 0Journal of Financial Intermediation 398 0 0.00% 0Journal of Corporate Finance 864 0 0.00% 0Journal of Corporate Finance 864 0 0.00% 0Management Journal of Empirical 767 1 0.13% 1Journal of Finance 1331 1 0.08% 1Money and Finance 760 0.00% 0.00% 0		Journal Credi	ysis of Money, it and	1246	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
and rnanceand rnanceMathematical 514 0 0.00% 0FinanceJournal of Financial 398 0 0.00% 0Journal of Corporate 864 0 0.00% 0Journal of Corporate 864 0 0.00% 0Finance 502 0 0.00% 0Finance 767 1 0.13% 1Journal of 1331 1 0.08% 1Internationalfinance 500 0.00% 0Money and 767 1 0.08% 1Finance 502 0 0.00% 0Money and 767 1 0.08% 1Finance 502 0 0.00% 0Money and 10000% 1000% 1000% 1000% Finance 1000% 1000% 1000% 1000% Money and 1000% 1000% 1000% 1000% Finance 1000% 1000% 1000% Money and 1000% 1000% 1000% Finance 1000% 1000% 1000% Money and 1000% 1000% 1000%		Bank Journal	cing of Banking	3329	4	0.12%	4	0.12%	3	1	0	0	0	0
Journal of Financial 398 0 0.00% 0 Intermediation Journal of Corporate 864 0 0.00% 0 Finance 864 0 0.00% 0 Finance 6 0 0.00% 0 Management 767 1 0.13% 1 Journal of 1331 1 0.13% 1 Finance 1331 1 0.08% 1 International Money and Finance 200 0		and I Mathen	r mance natical	514	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Journal of Corporate 864 0 0.00% 0 Finance 502 0 0.00% 0 Finance 502 0 0.00% 0 Management 562 0 0.00% 0 Journal of Empirical 767 1 0.13% 1 Journal of Empirical 767 1 0.13% 1 Journal of 1331 1 0.08% 1 Money and Finance 200 0.00% 0		Journal	of Financial	398	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial 502 0 0.00% 0 Management 502 0 0.00% 0 Management 767 1 0.13% 1 Finance 1331 1 0.08% 1 International Money and Finance 200 0 0.08% 1		Journal	of Corporate	864	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Management Journal of Empirical 767 1 0.13% 1 Finance Journal of 1331 1 0.08% 1 International Money and Finance 200 1 0.05% 1		Financia	al	502	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Funance Journal of 1331 1 0.08% 1 International Money and Finance 220 1 0.08% 1		Journal	agement of Empirical	767	1	0.13%	1	0.13%	1	0	0	0	0	0
Finance		гтал Journal Intern Mone	nce of national ev and	1331	1	0.08%	-	0.08%	1	0	0	0	0	0
3/0 1 0.27%		Finar	nce	370		0.27%	_	0.27%	_	0	0	0	C	0

ارات												
Tabl	e 1 (continued)											
اune لاست	lata for January 2015	1998-	Climate change-r	elated	Climate finance-	related	Coding o	of climate finan	ice-related art	ticles		
Jour	nals ordered by ing	Total no. of articles	No. of climate change-related articles	Climate change- related as % of all articles	No. of climate finance-related articles	Climate finance- related as % of all articles	Carbon markets	Value and performance	Risk and risk mitigation	Opportunities	Corporate response	Other
nol	nal of Financial arkets											
Fina	ncial Analysts	853	1	0.12%	1	0.12%	0	1	0	0	0	0
Revi	ew of Finance	433	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inor	nal of Risk and	617	2	0.32%	2	0.32%	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Isurance	1300	0	0.0002	0	0.0002	0	0	0	0	-	0
Jour	nulative Financial	477	0 0	0.00%	0 0	0.00%	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
R	esearch											
Jour	nal of Portfolio	886	1	0.11%	1	0.11%	0	1	0	0	0	0
Jour F:	tanagement nal of Business	066	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ā	ccounting											
Jour	nal of Futures	927	1	0.11%	1	0.11%	0	0	1	0	0	0
All 2 fīr	laikets 21 leading 1ance journals	20,725	12	0.06%	12	0.06%	9	3	3	0	0	0
	,											

^a Also included in the sample of elite business journals

ت

<u>න</u> sj	Table 2	Climate change-rela	tted and c	elimate finance-n	elated articles in 29	elite business jou	urnals (Jan. 1998–J	lu. 2015)					
pringe	All dats	a for January 1998–Ju	ne 2015	Climate change	>-related	Climate finance-	related	Coding o	f climate finan	ce-related ar	ticles		
<u>ک</u> للا	Journals and the	s ordered by field n ranking	Total no. of articles	No. of climate change-related articles	Climate change- related as % of all articles	No. of climate finance-related articles	Climate finance- related as % of all articles	Carbon markets	Value and performance	Risk and risk mitigation	Opportunities	Corporate response	Other
I	ACC	Accounting Review	905	1	0.11%	1	0.11%	0	1	0	0	0	0
		Accounting, Organisations and Society	660	9	0.91%	9	0.91%	б	0	0	0	ε	0
1		Journal of Accounting and	577	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Economics Journal of Accounting	640	0^	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ECO	American Economic Review	3505	36	1.03%	6	0.26%	4	7	0	0	0	3
		Annals of Statistics	1677	2	0.12%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Econometrica	1077	1	0.09%	1	0.09%	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Journal of Political Economy	694	-	0.14%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Quarterly Journal of Economics	718	1	0.14%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Review of Economic Studies	777	1	0.13%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GMN	Academy of Management Journal	1179	7	0.17%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Academy of Management Review	732	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
			366	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0

شا	Table 2	(continued)											
	All dat	a for January 1998–Ju	ne 2015	Climate change	related	Climate finance-	related	Coding o	of climate finar	ice-related a	rticles		
2 للا	Journal and the	s ordered by field n ranking	Total no. of articles	No. of climate change-related articles	Climate change- related as % of all articles	No. of climate finance-related articles	Climate finance- related as % of all articles	Carbon markets	Value and performance	Risk and risk mitigation	Opportunities	Corporate response	Other
J		Administrative											
L		Science											
		Journal of	903	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Management											
1	FIN	Journal of Finance	1412	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
P	1	Journal of Financial	1588	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
2		Economics											
		Review of Financial Studies	1202	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MKT	Iournal of	78.8	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TAHAT		00/	0	0,00.0		0,00.0	0	>	>	0	0	>
		Consumer											
		Psychology	0001	c	00000	c	20000	c	c	0	c	c	c
		Journal of	7601	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Consumer											
		Research											
		Journal of	761	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Markeing							,	,	,		
		Journal of	969	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Marketing											
		Kesearch											
		Marketing Science	927	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ORM	Journal of	753	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Operations											
		Management											
Ġ.		Management	2415	8	0.33%	2	0.08%	1	0	0	1	0	0
<u>)</u> Sj		Science											
prii		Operations	1673	5	0.30%	4	0.24%	ŝ	0	0	0	0	-
nger		Research											

ارات

All da	tta for January 1998–J	une 2015	Climate change	-related	Climate finance	-related	Coding o	f climate finar	nce-related a	rticles		
Journa and th	als ordered by field en ranking	Total no. of articles	No. of climate change-related articles	Climate change- related as % of all articles	No. of climate finance-related articles	Climate finance- related as % of all articles	Carbon markets	Value and performance	Risk and risk mitigation	Opportunities	Corporate response	Othe
HLO	Journal of	992	3	0.30%	1	0.10%	0	0	-	0	0	0
	International Business Studies Information	626	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	C	0
1	Systems Research		ı		1		I	1	ı	1	1	ı.
	MIS Quarterly: Management Information	653	2	0.31%	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Systems Organisation	1097	S	0.46%	1	0.09%	0	0	0	0	1	0
All 29	Science) elite business	31,351	74	0.24%	25	0.08%	11	3	1	1	4	5
inoť	mals											

management science, operations and tech management, OTH other, including information management, international business and area studies, organisation studies

ات





Fig. 1 Number of climate change-related and climate finance-related articles in leading finance journals (*top panel*) and elite business journals (*bottom panel*). Note: *Shaded areas* are proportional to number of articles

coding, these topics were then refined and clearly defined so they were both discrete (nonoverlapping) and exhaustive. In each case, we coded the main topic covered in the article.

To normalise our samples of climate change-related and climate finance-related articles, we used Scopus 'Compare Journals' statistics on the total number of articles published per journal. A total of 20,725 articles were published in our sample of 21 finance journals from January 1998 to June 2015 (see Table 1). A total of 31,351 articles were published in our sample of 29 elite business journals over the same time period (see Table 2).

🖄 Springer

To account for more recent interest in climate finance, particularly with respect to stranded assets, carbon bubbles and divestment, we created subsamples of articles published during the period January 2012 to June 2015 (see Appendix, Table 3). The Carbon Tracker published its first report in November 2011 which was a milestone in raising general awareness of climate finance topics (Leaton 2011).

3 Results

The results of our content analysis show a remarkable lack of engagement with climate change-related risks and opportunities by leading finance journals and elite business journals.

3.1 Climate change-related research

Table 1 shows that only 12 (0.06%) of the 20,725 articles published in the 21 leading finance journals (Currie and Pandher 2011) since 1998 have related in some way to climate change. Furthermore, this almost complete lack of engagement with climate change as a research topic is not limited to finance. Table 2 similarly shows that only 74 (0.24%) of the 31,351 articles published by 4* 'elite' business journals (ABS 2015) over the same period addressed climate change-related issues (see also Fig. 1). This research gap has scarcely improved in recent years as climate change has grown ever greater in relevance for finance. Over the period January 2012 to June 2015, only 0.10% (leading finance) and 0.49% (elite business) of articles published engaged with climate change (see Appendix, Table 3).

Among the elite business journals, we find that finance and marketing have not engaged with climate issues at all (see Table 2). We do find some limited engagement with climate change in elite journals from accounting, economics, management, operations research and 'other' business disciplines. Economics has engaged the most with climate topics, with all five elite journals having published at least one climate change-related paper and 0.5% of all publications addressing climate issues. However, it is noteworthy that of the 42 publications in economics, 36 come from one journal (*America Economic Review*). This highlights the importance of editorial policy in establishing journal norms (we return to this below).

The 21 leading finance journals have only published 12 climate change-related articles since 1998. Six of those were published since 2012 suggesting only a marginal increase in recent interest. Of the top ten journals, only the *Journal of Banking and Finance* has published climate-related articles (n = 4). The *Journal of Risk and Insurance* is the only other journal to have published more than one article (n = 2) (see Table 1). Other than the *Journal of Banking and Finance*, the journals that have published climate change-related articles tended to be towards the bottom of the ranking list. The three elite finance journals (*Journal of Finance, Journal of Financial Economics* and *Review of Financial Studies*) did not publish a single article related to climate change over the 17.5-year period. These findings are consistent with a recent review of 'what's hot' in finance research for the four leading finance journals over the period 2011 to 2015 (see Bhattacharya et al. 2016). In the review, there is no mention of climate, carbon or environmental finance.

3.2 Climate finance-related research

All 12 climate change-related articles in leading finance journals were coded as also being climate finance-related. In addition, 25 of 74 climate change-related articles in elite business journals were similarly coded as being climate finance-related. Figure 1 summarises the coding of these climate finance-related articles. Just under half of the 37 climate finance-related articles addressed carbon markets; an additional 14% (the 'other' category) mainly addressed carbon taxes. This leaves an almost complete absence of research on critically important issues of risk, valuation (including stranded assets) and investment opportunities related to climate change.

We conclude overall that there is a dearth of climate finance-related research in leading finance journals, and that this small number of contributions are disproportionately focused on carbon markets. Elite business journals, in particular in the accounting, economics and operations research disciplines, have addressed climate finance-related topics to a very slightly greater extent (n = 25 vs. n = 12) and while these address a broader set of issues, they also tend to be dominated by research on carbon markets and carbon taxes (see Table 2).

It is instructive to provide some context for our headline findings that 0.06 and 0.08% of all articles published from 1998 to 2015 in leading finance journals and elite business journals respectively are on climate finance-related research. In other words, what other topics are finance journals covering? Financial intermediation (including banking and related regulatory issues) and market microstructure (the study of how exchange occurs in financial markets) respectively accounted for 8% and 3.7% of articles published in the *Journal of Finance* between 2011 and 2015 (Bhattacharya et al. 2016). Research on financial intermediation has clearly grown in importance due to the global financial crisis, while market microstructure has become more prominent as electronic and high-frequency trading have expanded across financial markets (see Diaz-Rainey et al. 2015). Both areas are distinct from the 'core' focus of finance research on asset pricing, corporate finance and investments, which together accounted for 67% of research in the *Journal of Finance* over the same period (Bhattacharya et al. 2016).

4 Discussion

It is striking that finance research is so 'behind the climate curve' given that investment professionals (Van Renssen 2014) and financial regulators (Batten et al. 2016; FSB 2016) are strongly engaged with climate finance topics. We offer four possible explanations.

First, contemporary critiques of finance research suggest the discipline is isolated and increasingly distanced from practice or the reality of financial markets (Focardi and Fabozzi 2012; Keasey and Hudson 2007). For instance, Focardi and Fabozzi (2012, pp. 108–109) observe that '[a] true empirical science would revise its models so that they fit empirical data. Financial economics, however, takes the opposite approach and considers deviations from an idealized economic rationality to be anomalies of the true empirical price processes'. Similarly, Keasey and Hudson (2007) observe that finance researchers are reluctant to engage with practice, leading them to conclude that finance theory is 'a house without windows'. Accordingly, finance researchers seeking to publish in leading finance journals may be unaware of climate finance-related issues worthy of research attention. Among the leading finance journals, the two which are most practitioner-oriented have both published climate change-related articles. These are the *Financial Analysts Journal* (the Chartered Financial Analysts

Institute's journal) and the *Journal of Portfolio Management*. Proximity to finance practice, and in particular to institutional investors, may help support engagement with climate change. Indeed, in 2016 (beyond our sample period), there have been two notable climate finance risk-related publications in the *Financial Analysts Journal*, namely Andersson et al. (2016) and de Jong and Nguyen (2016). The five authors involved in these two publications were practitioners or practitioners with ties to universities. None were 'conventional' finance academics.

Second, finance is essentially a mono method discipline (applied empiricism encased in rational agent theory) which is ill-suited to tackling forward-looking challenges arising from dynamic system change (Diaz-Rainey et al. 2015; Focardi and Fabozzi 2012; Keasey and Hudson 2007). This 'limited domain' problem (Diaz-Rainey et al. 2015) may explain why the climate finance-related research that has been published in leading finance journals has tended to focus on carbon markets, as rich time-series datasets are readily available. If so, leading finance journals face fundamental epistemological challenges to remain relevant within the climate context.

Third, incentives within finance research publication may steer academics away from climate finance topics. There may be a perception among finance academics that climate finance-related topics do not provide the opportunities for high impact general theory development that 'core' research in asset pricing, corporate finance and investments allows for and which tend to dominate the leading finance journals (see earlier discussion, and Bhattacharya et al. 2016). Further, established research agendas and high teaching loads among finance academics in universities may create inertia. There is high demand to study finance and a limited pool of faculty talent, partly as a result of alternative career opportunities in the finance industry. Thus, rather than undertaking 'risky' research in new fields such as climate finance that leading editors may not be receptive to, busy finance researchers focus on incremental knowledge in clearly recognised fields (Goodall 2008).

Fourth, and related to the previous points, researchers' concerns that editors will not be responsive to climate finance topics may be well founded. This in turn may be related to leading finance professors (and journal editors) considering climate topics to be overly politicised (Goodall 2008). This effect may be accentuated by the US dominance of the finance discipline given the greater polarisation of views about, and scepticism towards, climate change in the US (Boussalis and Coan 2016; Painter and Ashe 2012; McCright and Dunlap 2011; Goodall 2008).

To explore this argument further, we identified the domiciles of the editorial teams of the 21 leading finance journals in our sample. We included co-editors, managing editors and executive editors but excluded associated editors. We assigned 0 ('US') or 1 ('INT') to a dummy variable coding for editorial teams that were exclusively US-based or that included at least one non-US domiciled editor respectively (see Appendix, Table 4). Of the 12 journals coded as international (INT), most included a combination of US and non-US editors reflecting the US dominance of the discipline. Only two journals had no US-based editors (*Journal of Portfolio Management* and *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*). The *phi* coefficient of our dummy variable for all journals with at least one climate change-related publication and the INT dummy was 0.481 (significant at the 5% level). Leading finance journals publishing climate change-related research were categorised as having international editorial teams in all but one case (*Journal of Futures Markets*). We thus find a positive and statistically significant relationship between international editorial teams in leading finance journals and climate change-related research publications. This does not prove causality but does at least suggest that exclusively US-based editorial teams may play a role in limiting climate change-related research in leading finance journals.



5 Conclusion

Respected field journals such as *Energy Economics* have engaged strongly with climate finance-related research (Griffin et al. 2015). There are many climate finance initiatives in academia, including the Oxford Stranded Assets Programme and the new *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, although tellingly the former is based in a geography department and the latter is not ranked by the business journal ranking lists (ABS 2015). So to be clear, we are not arguing that researchers are not engaging with climate finance. Rather, our concern is that elite business journals and leading finance journals have either not engaged at all or only engaged in a very limited sense. This has important implications for policy and practice.

High-profile publications in leading journals are important both for impact and academic career progression. Finance research is no different. Indeed, rewards and prestige in finance research are particularly concentrated (Currie and Pandher 2011; Fishe 1998) in the three elite journals (*Journal of Finance, Journal of Financial Economics, Review of Financial Studies*). As noted earlier, none of these journals published a single article related to climate change over the 17.5-year period of our sample. Emphasising their importance to the pursuit of knowledge generally, the three leading finance journals are placed among the top 100 journals in the world for all disciplines (according to 2016 SJR rankings).

Meaningful action to understand and address the impacts of climate change on the financial system requires the engagement of the best minds from academic finance and the attention of the leading journals that define the agendas in their fields. Leading finance journals should be publishing research on a range of climate finance-related topics including risks (e.g. speculative bubbles, financial contagion), valuation (e.g. carbon-risk disclosure requirements and related market impacts across numerous asset classes), opportunities (e.g. debt and equity market 'green' financing) and corporate strategies (e.g. divestments and used of hedging instruments).

Until the top journals in finance and business signal that they are receptive to climate finance-related research, the best finance researchers are unlikely to engage. Since the articles from leading finance journals tend to underpin the finance curriculums of undergraduate and especially postgraduate qualifications in finance, perhaps the most prominent impact of the dearth of climate finance-related research in leading finance journals is that the next generation of finance practitioners may be underprepared to tackle climate change-related issues.

What might be done to alleviate this situation? First, there needs to be a better understanding of why leading finance journals have not engaged with climate change. We have offered several possible explanations above, but surveys or interviews of finance academics could provide substantiating evidence. Roundtables and seminars where senior finance academics (especially editors) are introduced to climate finance topics might also help. In this respect, professional and regulatory bodies engaged with climate finance issues could play an important role in bringing academics to the table. The *Chartered Financial Analysts Institute* and the *Financial Stability Board* (especially its Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures) could be possible conduits. Finally, research funders can help by creating dedicated funding streams for climate finance issues that require interdisciplinary teams including finance researchers.

Through the data and analysis presented here, we do not in any way seek to diminish finance research. We hope it can provide a stimulus to encourage leading finance researchers to engage with climate change and the many financial risks and opportunities it creates. That way, climate finance topics can benefit from the knowledge, methods and insights in finance research. This in turn will lead to better policymaking in what is surely one of the defining topics for finance and capital markets in the coming years and decades.

Acknowledgements IDR acknowledges University of Otago research leave funding which made this research possible. CW and BR acknowledge the support of the Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research. Useful suggestions were received during a related presentation by IDR at the Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment, University of Oxford (June 2016).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Appendix

 Table 3
 Coverage of Climate Change-Related Articles in Leading Finance and Elite Business Journals during 1998–2015 and 2012–2015

	No. of journals	Total no. of articles	No. of climate change- related articles	Climate change- related as % of all arti- cles	% Of journals with >0 climate change- related articles	Average no. of climate change- related articles per jour- nal	Max. no. of climate change- related articles per jour- nal	Min. no. of climate change- related articles per jour- nal	s.d. of climate change- related articles per journal
January 1998–Ju	ne 2015 (17.5 year	period)						
All leading finance	21	20,725	12	0.06%	38%	0.57	4	0	0.95
All elite business journals of which	29	31,351	74	0.24%					
Accounting Economics, econometrics	4 6	2781 8446	7 42	0.25% 0.50%	50% 100%	1.75 7.00	6 36	0 1	2.49 12.97
and statistics General management, ethics and social	4	3179	2	0.06%	25%	0.50	2	0	0.87
Finance	3	4201	0	0.00%	0%	0.00	0	0	0.00
Marketing	5	4536	0	0.00%	0%	0.00	0	0	0.00
Operations research, management science, operations and tech.	3	4841	13	0.27%	67%	4.33	8	0	3.30
Other (information management, international business and area studies,	4	3368	10	0.30%	75%	2.50	5	0	1.80
studies) January 2012–Ju	ne 2015 (3	3.5-year į	period)						
🖉 Springer	Z	_		51					

ت

	No. of journals	Total no. of articles	No. of climate change- related articles	Climate change- related as % of all arti- cles	% Of journals with >0 climate change- related articles	Average no. of climate change- related articles per jour- nal	Max. no. of climate change- related articles per jour- nal	Min. no. of climate change- related articles per jour- nal	s.d. of climate change- related articles per journal
All leading finance	21	5823	6	0.10%	24%	0.29	2	0	0.55
journals All elite business journals	29	7379	36	0.49%					
of which	4	675	1	0.150	2501	0.25	1	0	0.42
Economics, econometrics and statistics	6	1854	1 19	1.02%	83%	3.17	15	0	5.30
General management, ethics and social responsibility	4	721	2	0.28%	25%	0.50	2	0	0.87
Finance	3	1028	0	0.00%	0%	0.00	0	0	0.00
Marketing	5	1107	0	0.00%	0%	0.00	0	0	0.00
Operations research, management science, operations and tech. management	3	1064	7	0.66%	67%	2.33	5	0	2.05
Other (information management, international business and area studies, organisation studies)	4	931	7	0.75%	75%	1.75	5	0	1.92

Table 4	Domicile of editor	(s) of leading	g finance	journals,	and	climate	change-related	coverage	during	1998-
2015 and	2012-2015									

			January 1998–	June 201	5	January 2012-	June 201	5
	Journal	Editor(s) domicile ^a	No. of climate change- related arti- cles	Total no. of articles	Climate change-related as % of all arti- cles	No. of climate change- related arti- cles	Total no. of articles	Climate change-related as % of all arti- cles
	Journal of Finance	US	0	1412	0.00%	0	246	0.00%
	Journal of	US	0	1588	0.00%	0	461	0.00%
ار	Financial Economics	ä	li					🖄 Springer

Table 3 (continued)

		January 1998	3–June 201	5	January 2012	June 201	5
Journal	Editor(s) domicile ^a	No. of climate change- related arti- cles	Total no. of articles	Climate change-related as % of all arti- cles	No. of climate change- related arti- cles	Total no. of articles	Climate change-related as % of all arti- cles
Review of Financial Studies	INT	0	1202	0.00%	0	322	0.00%
J. of Financial and Quantitative	US	0	725	0.00%	0	194	0.00%
Journal of Money, Credit and	US	0	1246	0.00%	0	304	0.00%
Journal of Banking and Finance	INT	4	3329	0.12%	2	1181	0.17%
Mathematical	US	0	514	0.00%	0	140	0.00%
Finance Journal of Financial Intermedia- tion	US	0	398	0.00%	0	108	0.00%
Journal of Corporate	US	0	864	0.00%	0	354	0.00%
Financial Manage- ment	INT	0	502	0.00%	0	116	0.00%
Journal of Empirical Finance	INT	1	767	0.13%	1	270	0.37%
J. of Internation- al Money and Finance	INT	1	1331	0.08%	1	466	0.21%
Journal of Financial Markets	INT	1	370	0.27%	1	111	0.90%
Financial Analysts Journal	INT	1	853	0.12%	0	146	0.00%
Review of Finance	INT	0	433	0.00%	0	162	0.00%
Journal of Risk and Insurance	INT	2	617	0.32%	1	151	0.66%
Quantitative Finance	INT	0	1300	0.00%	0	499	0.00%
Journal of Financial Research	US	0	477	0.00%	0	80	0.00%
researen	INT	4	886	0.11%	0	153	0.00%

Table 4 (continued)

م الم الم الا Springer الرات

Table 4 (continued)

	Editor(s) domicile ^a	January 1998–June 2015			January 2012–June 2015		
Journal		No. of climate change- related arti- cles	Total no. of articles	Climate change-related as % of all arti- cles	No. of climate change- related arti- cles	Total no. of articles	Climate change-related as % of all arti- cles
Journal of Portfolio Manage- ment							
J. of Business Finance and Accounting	INT	0	990	0.00%	0	160	0.00%
Journal of Futures Markets	US	1	927	0.11%	0	205	0.00%
All 21 leading finance journals		12	20,725	0.06%	6	5823	0.10%

^a Editors or editorial teams (including co-editors, managing editors, executive editors but excluding associated editors) were coded as 'US' if only US-based or 'INT' if they included at least one non-US domiciled editor (see text for details)

References

ABS (2015) Academic Journal Guide 2015. Association of Business Schools, London

- Alexander K (2014) Stability and sustainability in banking reform: are environmental risks missing in Basel III?. University of Cambridge Institute for Sustainability Leadership (CISL), Cambridge
- Andersson M, Bolton P, Samama F (2016) Hedging climate risk. Financ Anal J 72(3):13-32
- Ansar A, Caldecott B, Tilbury J (2013) Stranded assets and the fossil fuel divestment campaign: what does divestment mean for the valuation of fossil fuel assets. Stranded Assets Programme, SSEE, University of Oxford, 1–81.
- Batten, S., Sowerbutts, R. & Tanaka, M. (2016). Let's talk about the weather: the impact of climate change on central banks. Bank of England Staff Working Paper No.603.
- Bhattacharya U, Dong A, Li FW, Ma F, Wang B (2016) What's hot in finance (2011–2015)?. Presentation. Available at SSRN: https://ssm.com/abstract=2741503 or 10.2139/ssm.2741503
- Boussalis C, Coan TG (2016) Text-mining the signals of climate change doubt. Glob Environ Chang 36:89–100 Carbon Tracker Initiative (2013) Unburnable Carbon 2013: wasted capital and stranded assets. Carbon Tracker and Grantham Research Institute.
- Carbon Tracker Initiative (2015) The \$2 trillion stranded assets danger zone: how fossil fuel firms risk destroying investor returns. Carbon Tracker Initiative.
- Carney M (2015) Breaking the tragedy of the horizon—climate change and financial stability. Speech given at Lloyd's of London (29 September). Available from http://www.bankofengland.co. uk/publications/Pages/speeches/2015/844.aspx
- Currie RR, Pandher GS (2011) Finance journal rankings and tiers: an active scholar assessment methodology. J Bank Financ 35(1):7–20
- D'Agostino AL, Sovacool BK, Trott K, Ramos CR, Saleem S, Ong Y (2011) What's the state of energy studies research?: a content analysis of three leading journals from 1999 to 2008. Energy 36(1):508–519
- de Jong M, Nguyen A (2016) Weathered for climate risk: a bond investment proposition. Financ Anal J 72(3): 34–39
- Diaz-Rainey I, Ibikunle G, Mention AL (2015) The technological transformation of capital markets. Technol Forecast Soc Chang 99:277–284
- Dietz S, Bowen A, Dixon C, Gradwell P (2016) Climate value at risk' of global financial assets. Nat Clim Chang 6:676–679
- Fishe RP (1998) What are the research standards for full professor of finance? J Financ 53(3):1053–1079



- Focardi SM, Fabozzi FJ (2012) What's wrong with today's economics? The current crisis calls for an approach to economics rooted more on data than on rationality. J Portf Manag 38(3):104–119
- FSB (2016) Phase 1 report of the task force on climate-related financial disclosures. Board, Financial Stability Goodall AH (2008) Why have the leading journals in management (and other social sciences) failed to respond to climate change? J Manag Ing 17(4):408–420
- Griffin PA, Jaffe AM, Lont DH, Dominguez-Faus R (2015) Science and the stock market: investors' recognition of unburnable carbon. Energy Econ 52:1–12
- Karlin B, Davis N, Sanguinetti A, Gamble K, Kirkby D, Stokols D (2014) Dimensions of conservation: exploring differences among energy behaviors. Environ Behav 46(4):423–452
- Keasey K, Hudson R (2007) Finance theory: a house without windows. Crit Perspect Account 18(8):932-951
- Leaton J (2011) Unburnable carbon—are the world's financial markets carrying a carbon bubble. Carbon Tracker Initiative.
- McCright AM, Dunlap RE (2011) The politicization of climate change and polarization in the American public's views of global warming, 2001–2010. Sociol Q 52:155–194
- Muñoz F, Vargas M, Marco I (2014) Environmental mutual funds: financial performance and managerial abilities. J Bus Ethics 124(4):551–569
- Painter J, Ashe T (2012) Cross-national comparison of the presence of climate scepticism in the print media in six countries, 2007–10. Environ Res Lett 7(4):044005
- Patenaude G (2011) Climate change diffusion: while the world tips, business schools lag. Glob Environ Chang 21:259–271
- Pauw WP, Klein RJ, Vellinga P, Biermann F (2016) Private finance for adaptation: do private realities meet public ambitions? Clim Chang 134(4):489–503
- Tulloch DJ, Diaz-Rainey I, Premachandra IM (2017) The impact of liberalization and environmental policy on the financial returns of European energy utilities. Energy J 38:77–106
- Van Renssen S (2014) Investors take charge of climate policy. Nat Clim Chang 4(4):241-242
- Wilson C, Hargreaves T, Hauxwell-Baldwin R (2015) Smart homes and their users: a systematic analysis and key challenges. Pers Ubiquit Comput 19(2):463–476

Reproduced with permission of copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.